

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20221
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/645,673	08/24/2000	Ashok V. Joshi	001308	4245	
22876 75	90 03/10/2003	•			
FACTOR & PARTNERS, LLC			EXAMINER		
	HINGTON BLVD.		NGUYEN	NGUYEN, DINH Q	
CHICAGO, IL 60607			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3752		

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/645,673	JOSHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dinh Q Nguyen	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timety. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 D</u>	<u>lecember 2002</u> .					
,	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1.9.10.13-17.26-42.52.61 and 66-73 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 66-73 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,9,10,13-17,26-42,52 and 61</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been rec	eived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 10				

p

Application/Control Number: 09/645,673

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

1. Newly submitted claims 66-73 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: newly added claims 66-73 are belonging to the previously restricted Species (paper number 4) as following:

Species 32, Claim 66

Species 9, Claim 67

Species 3, Claim 68

Species 4, Claim 69

Species 6, Claim 70

Species 7, Claim 71

Species 8, Claim 72

Species 15, Claim 73

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 66-73 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/645,673

Art Unit: 3752

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1, 9, 10, 13-17, 20, 26-42, 52 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen/Magoon/Sancoff/Maget in view of Smith.

Cohen/Magoon/Sancoff/ Maget teaches all the limitations of the claims except for means for increasing temperature. However, Smith discloses means 18 for increasing temperature of a fluid 54 (figure 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of Cohen/Magoon/Sancoff/ Maget with a means for increasing temperature as suggested by Smith. Doing so would provide a versatile dispenser.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed December 26, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 9, 10, 13-17, 20, 26-42, 52, and 61 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinh Q Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0248. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:00 alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone numbers

Application/Control Number: 09/645,673

Art Unit: 3752

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

dqn March 10, 2003 Dinh Nguyen

Patent Examiner